United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of Tennessee

United States of America)	
v.	ý ,	
) Case No. 3:21-MJ-1061	
Nelson P. Replogle)	
Defendant	,	
ORDER OF DETENT	TION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention		
Upon the		
☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursua☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own		
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:		
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the fo	ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.	
	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or	
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum se	•	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the \$801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or	
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two	onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal	

(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:

jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or

- (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
- (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
- (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
 - § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; *and*
- (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*
- (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;	S
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	ρf
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	g,
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.	
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision 	
History of clockel or substance abuse	
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment	
☐ Lack of stable employment ☐ Lack of stable residence	
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties	
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district	

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The Court finds that the evidence and other information provided at the hearing established by clear and convincing evidence that the Defendant poses a serious risk of danger to the community, and ultimately no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community if the Defendant were released on bond. The factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) weigh in favor of detention. The Court sets forth the reasons for detention with more specificity in the attached supplemental Statement of Reasons.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative
for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an
appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Date: 05/04/2021 Debra C. Poolin
United States Magistrate Judge